

**SUDBURY EAST PLANNING BOARD  
MINUTES  
Thursday, March 11, 2021 at 5:30 p.m.  
Sudbury East Planning Board Office  
39 Lafontaine Street, Warren, Ontario**

**MEMBERS PRESENT:** Carol Lemmon, Ned Whynott, Dave Viau, Renee Germain,  
John Dimitrijevic, Rob Campbell, Renee Carrier, Paul Branconnier

**MEMBERS ABSENT:** Rachelle Pigeau, Jackie Lafleur

**OFFICIALS PRESENT:** Matthew Dumont, Director of Planning/Secretary-Treasurer  
Nancy Roy, Administrative Assistant

**PUBLIC PRESENT:** None

**1. MEETING CALLED TO ORDER**

The Chair called the meeting to order at 5:33 p.m.

**2. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA**

**Resolution: 21-001**

**BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the agenda for the Sudbury East Planning Board regular meeting of March 11, 2021 be adopted as distributed.

**MOVED BY: Renee Carrier**

**SECONDED BY: Paul Branconnier**

**Carried.**

**3. DISCLOSURE OF PECUNIARY INTEREST AND GENERAL NATURE THEREOF**

Paul Branconnier made the board aware that he had 2 Applications on the agenda. The Director of Planning advised Mr. Branconnier that when it came to discuss his applications that he would be asked to leave the room and return once both applications have been discussed.

**4. ADOPTION OF MINUTES**

a) Sudbury East Planning Board – Regular meeting of December 10th, 2020 be adopted as distributed.

**Resolution: 20-002**

**BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the minutes of the Sudbury East Planning Board's regular meeting of December 10, 2020 be adopted as distributed.

**MOVED BY: Paul Branconnier**

**SECONDED BY: David Viau**

**Carried**

## **5. CONSENT APPLICATIONS**

The chair advised that a Public Hearing will be held before the Planning Board makes a decision on a Consent Application. Also that the analysis and discussion serves two purposes: first, to present to the Planning Board and the public the details and background to a proposed Consent Application; and second, to receive comments from the public and agencies before a Planning Board decision is made.

The Director of Planning Advised that Notice of the Public Hearing was posted in the Planning Board office and sent by First Class Mail to assessed owners within 60.0 metres of the property subject to the Consent Applications and to those persons and agencies likely to have an interest in the applications. The Notice was sent on January 26, 2021, being over fourteen (14) days prior to this evenings meeting (B/1-5/21/FR – 1866551 Ontario Limited ). Included with the Notice was an explanation of the purpose and effect of the proposed Consent and a key map showing the location of the property. The circulation was provided in accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act, R.S.O., Chapter P.13.

### **a) B/1-5/21/FR - 1866551 Ontario Limited**

The Director of Planning summarized the application.

The Sudbury East Planning Board has received applications for consents and zoning by-law amendment which proposes to create five (5) residential lots from the subject lands on Courchesne Road in the Municipality of French River, and to rezone such lots from 'Rural (RU)' to 'Residential Rural (RR)' to recognize the intended use of the lots as well as reduced lot frontages. Each of the proposed five lots will have lot areas ranging from 1.15 hectares to 1.38 hectares and road frontages each of 50.0 metres; the proposed retained lot will have an area of 30.77 hectares and road frontage of approximately 100.0 metres and presently contains a barn and two sheds.

With respect to the PPS 2020;

- 1.1.3.1 Settlement areas (**Village of Alban**) shall be the focus of growth and development.
- 1.1.3.6 **New development taking place in designated growth areas should occur adjacent to the existing built-up area and should have a compact form**, mix of uses and densities that allow for the efficient use of land, infrastructure, and public service facilities.

With respect to the OP;

#### 4.5.1 Consent Policies

Consents to sever land for the purposes of creating a new building lot shall only be **granted where a plan of subdivision has been determined not to be appropriate, no extension of services is severed and retained parcels can be provided from a year-round publicly maintained road, adequate sewage and water servicing can or will be provided, etc.**

Creation of rural residential lots will be evaluated using a number of criteria including:

- The size and dimensions of the severed and retained parcels are adequate to accommodate the proposed use;
- **Adequate access** can be provided from a year-round publicly maintained road;
- Access will not create a traffic hazard;
- The severed and retained parcels comply with MDS;
- **Adequate sewage and water servicing** can or will be provided;
- It is feasible with regard to the other provisions of the Plan, provincial legislation, policies and appropriate
- guidelines and support studies for uses within or adjacent to any development constraint; and
- The request, if granted, would not pose an undue financial burden on the applicable municipality.

In this case, proposed severed Lot 1 through Lot 5 and retained parcel meet the requirements of the 'Residential Rural (RR)' Zoning. Adequate access for the proposed lots to be severed and retained parcel of land are to be accessed from Courchesne Road which the Municipality of French River had **no objections** to the severance. Minimum Distance Separation (MDS) is not applicable in this instance, as there is no farming related activities in the area. With respect to servicing, the agent has provided previous comments obtained from the Sudbury and District Health Unit that are supportive. Appropriate documentation with respect to availability of sewage hauling services and probability of potable water have been provided.

Section 3.5.2.5 contains policies respecting Significant Habitat of Endangered and Threatened Species. Development and site alteration are not permitted on lands adjacent to such habitat, unless the ecological function of the adjacent land has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on the natural features or their ecological functions. Millsnake, was identified as part of the Natural Heritage Review on the severed lands. The owners engaged with LCA Environmental Consultant to complete a natural heritage background review and habitat assessment. Their findings determined that there were no significant habitat features or species at risk within the propose severance lands, therefore having no impact to the natural heritage features identified on the OP mapping.

With respect to Zoning;

The 'Rural (RU)' Zone requires a minimum lot area of 5.0 hectares and a minimum lot frontage of 100.0 metres. The lot to be retained will continue to meet those requirements.

The minimum lot area and the minimum lot frontage requirements in the 'Residential Rural(RR)' Zone is 0.8 hectares and 60.0 metres respectively; the proposed severed lots are to comply with the 0.8 hectares, however the lot frontages will not meet the required 60.0 metres. The applicants are proposing 50.0 meters of lot frontage for each lot, thus requiring a special provision to identify the reduced lot frontage for the severed lots.

**Agency Comments:**

The Municipality of French River has no objection to the severance; however parkland dedication, Highway 607 Drain assessment, and possible road transfer will form as conditions of approval.

The Sudbury & District Health Unit concluded that the proposed severed and retained parcels are capable of development for installation of a septic tank and leaching bed system.

Bell Canada has no concerns with respect to the proposed application.

Hydro One has no concerns with respect to the proposed application.

No other comments were received as of the date this report was written.

The application for consent is consistent with the 2020 Provincial Policy Statement, complies with the Official Plan for the Sudbury East Planning Area, therefore can be supported from planning perspective.

**Resolution: 20-003**

**BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Consent Application B/1-5/21/FR submitted by 1866551 Ontario Limited be recommended for approval as per the report prepared by the Director of Planning.

**MOVED BY: Renee Carrier**

**SECONDED BY: New Whynott**

**Carried**

The Chair advised that there is a 20 day appeal period during which time any person or public body may appeal the decision or any condition imposed by the Planning Board by filing an appeal with the Secretary-Treasurer as prescribed by the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal Act.

The applicants have one year to fulfill the conditions of this provisional consent or the approval will lapse.

The Director of Planning Advised that Notice of the Public Hearing was posted in the Planning Board office and sent by First Class Mail to assessed owners within 60.0 metres of the property subject to the Consent Applications and to those persons and agencies likely to have an interest in the applications. The Notice was sent on January 26, 2021, being over fourteen (14) days prior to this

evenings meeting (B/06/21/SC – Margaret and Franklin McKay). Included with the Notice was an explanation of the purpose and effect of the proposed Consent and a key map showing the location of the property. The circulation was provided in accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act, R.S.O., Chapter P.13.

**b) B/06/21/SC - Margaret and Franklin McKay**

The Director of Planning summarized the application.

The lands are located on the west side of Highway 535 in the **Village of St. Charles**, north of King Street West and south of Turcot Road. The subject lands are in an area of predominantly rural and residential uses.

The purpose of the Application for Consent is to effect a lot addition. The proposed lot addition is approximately 1.61 hectares in area from the above noted parcel which is to be added to the adjacent lands to the east (69 Main Street). The proposed retained lands will have approximately 45.0 hectares of lot area and have road frontage on Highway 535, Turcot Road, Notre Dame Street and St. Anne Street. The entire enlarged lot is to be approximately 1.87 hectares in lot area with a lot frontage of 30.0 metres on Main Street.

With respect to the OP;

Village Policy Areas are intended to serve the surrounding Rural Policy Areas as well as to provide an alternative to the higher density urban character found in Community Policy Areas which have full municipal services. New development in the Village Policy Area is intended to occur through infill, intensification and redevelopment in the built-up area.

Section 4.5.1 of the Plan contains policies pertaining to Consents. Subsection 1, outlines criteria that shall be considered when creating new buildings lots:

- a) new lots that represent minor infilling;
- b) the mortgaging of land beyond 21 years;
- c) lot boundary adjustments;**
- d) facilitating the disposal of a second legal dwelling;
- e) separating existing legal uses;
- f) providing a retirement residence on non-prime agricultural lands for a farmer who worked on the subject farm much of their adult life;
- g) separating lots that have merged on title; and,
- h) easements or right-of-ways.

The application, as proposed, generally conforms to the intent and polices of the Official Plan

With respect to Zoning,

The proposed lot to be retained is zoned Rural (RU) which requires a minimum lot area of 5.0 hectares and a minimum lot frontage of 100 metres. The proposed lot to be retained has an approximate lot area of 45.0 hectares and an approximate road frontage of 710.0 metres (Highway 535) and 400.00 metres on Turcot Road which both requirements exceed the requirements of the RU Zone.

The proposed lot to be severed, currently zoned Rural (RU), is 1.61 hectares in area with no lot frontage which will be added to the parcel to the immediate east (69 Main Street). The enlarged parcel of land will have an approximate lot area of 1.87 hectares and a lot frontage 30.0 metres and have split zoning (Rural and Residential One Zones).

To prohibit split zoning, as a condition of approval for consent, 69 Main Street is required to receive approval for a zoning by-law amendment application to ensure that the consolidated parcels of land remain under one zone which is Residential One (R1). The enlarged lot will continue to meet the minimum requirements of the Residential One (R1).

With Respect to agency comments:

Clerk with the Municipality of St. Charles: property subject to municipal drain and may require re-apportionment require reassessment.

No other comments were received as of the date this report was written.

The application for consent is consistent with the 2020 Provincial Policy Statement, complies with the Official Plan for the Sudbury East Planning Area, therefore can be supported from planning perspective.

**Resolution: 21-004**

**BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Consent Application B/06/21/SC submitted by Margaret and Franklin McKay be recommended for approval as per the report prepared by the Director of Planning.

**MOVED BY: John Dimitrijevic**

**SECONDED BY: Renee Carrier**

**Carried**

The Chair advised that there is a 20 day appeal period during which time any person or public body may appeal the decision or any condition imposed by the Planning Board by filing an appeal with the Secretary-Treasurer as prescribed by the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal Act.

The applicants have one year to fulfill the conditions of this provisional consent or the approval will lapse.

The Director of Planning Advised that Notice of the Public Hearing was posted in the Planning Board office and sent by First Class Mail to assessed owners within 60.0 metres of the property subject to the Consent Applications and to those persons and agencies likely to have an interest in the applications. The Notice was sent on January 26, 2021, being over fourteen (14) days prior to this evenings meeting (B/07/21/SC – Alexandra Linnen). Included with the Notice was an explanation of the purpose and effect of the proposed Consent and a key map showing the location of the property. The circulation was provided in accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act, R.S.O., Chapter P.13.

**c) B/07/21/SC - Alexandra Linnen**

The Director of Planning summarized the application.

The lands are located on the north side of Pothier Road, west of Highway 535 and east of Montee Brazeau Road. The subject lands are predominately surrounded by rural lands, with a limited mix of residential rural lands in the area.

The Sudbury East Planning Board has received an application to create one (1) rural lot which is approximately 7.28 hectares in area and has 127.0 metres of road frontage on Pothier Road in St.-Charles. The proposed severed lot is presently vacant. The proposed retained lot is to be approximately 56.25 hectares in area with a lot frontage of 118.0 metres on Pothier Road and presently contains a single-family home, shop, and barn.

With respect to the OP;

Section 4.5 of the Plan contains policies pertaining to Consents. Subsection 2, outlines criteria that shall be considered when creating a new building lot:

- a) Plan of subdivision not appropriate (ie existing services);
- b) Intended uses of the parcels conform with the Plan and Zoning By-law;
- c) Proposed use of parcels is compatible with abutting uses;
- d) There is no extension of municipal services required;
- e) Represents orderly and efficient use of land (would not hinder development of retained lands);
- f) Limited circumstances in the rural policy area (on non-prime agricultural lands, farm splits, farm retirement lots, residence surplus to farm operations and residential infilling are permitted. As a lesser priority, the severed parcel(s) may be located beyond the last existing residential lot, but only where all services available in the cluster such as electricity, telephone, garbage collection, school bus service are available to the lot and where the development of the lot will not represent a long term increase in municipal costs.);
- g) Size and dimension of proposed lots are adequate for the proposed use;
- h) Adequate access can be provided from a year-round publicly maintained road;
- i) Access will not create a traffic hazard;

- j) The severed and retained parcels comply with Minimum Distance Separation (MDS);
- k) Adequate water and sewage servicing can be provided;
- l) It is feasible with regard to other provisions of this Plan, provincial legislation, policies and appropriate guidelines for uses within or adjacent to a development constraint; and
- m) The request, if granted, would not pose an undue financial burden on the applicable municipality.

With respect to servicing, the applicant has provided the required documentation to demonstrate **site suitability for a septic system, reasonable expectation of potable water and capacity for hauled sewage.**

With respect to zoning;

The Rural Zone requires a minimum lot area of 5.0 hectares and a minimum lot frontage of 100 metres. Each of the proposed severed and retained lot will continue to meet these requirements.

With Respect to agency comments:

Municipality of St. Charles: property is subject to a municipal drain and may require reapportionment.

Hydro One: no comments or concerns at this time.

No other comments were received as of the date this report was written.

The applications for consent is consistent with the 2020 Provincial Policy Statement, complies with the Official Plan for the Sudbury East Planning Area, therefore can be supported from planning perspective.

**Resolution: 21-005**

**BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Consent Application B/07/21/SC submitted by Alexandra Linnen be recommended for approval as per the report prepared by the Director of Planning.

**MOVED BY: Paul Branconnier**

**SECONDED BY: David Viau**

**Carried**

The Chair advised that there is a 20 day appeal period during which time any person or public body may appeal the decision or any condition imposed by the Planning Board by filing an appeal with the Secretary-Treasurer as prescribed by the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal Act.

The applicants have one year to fulfill the conditions of this provisional consent or the approval will lapse.



The Director of Planning Advised that Notice of the Public Hearing was posted in the Planning Board office and sent by First Class Mail to assessed owners within 60.0 metres of the property subject to the Consent Applications and to those persons and agencies likely to have an interest in the applications. The Notice was sent on January 26, 2021, being over fourteen (14) days prior to this evenings meeting (B/08/21/SC – Paul and Lise Branconnier). Included with the Notice was an explanation of the purpose and effect of the proposed Consent and a key map showing the location of the property. The circulation was provided in accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act, R.S.O., Chapter P.13.

**d) B/08/21/SC - Paul and Lise Branconnier**

The Director of Planning summarized the application.

The purpose of the Application for Consent is to effect a lot addition of approximately 18.2 hectares from the above noted parcel and add the lands to the adjacent property to the east (544 Casimir Road). The proposed severed lands are presently vacant. The proposed retained lands will have approximately 20.0 hectares in lot area with a lot frontage of 375.0 meters on Casimir Road. The proposed retained lands contain a single-family home. The enlarged lot is to be approximately 26.2 hectares in lot area with a lot frontage of 204.0 metres on Casimir Road.

With respect to the OP;

Lands designated “Rural” are to be used primarily for agriculture, farm related and secondary uses as well as resource- based activities, such as forestry, mining and aggregate operations, and other industrial uses that are appropriate in settlement areas, as well as **limited residential developments**, where appropriate.

Section 4.5.1 of the Plan contains policies pertaining to Consents. Subsection 1, outlines criteria that shall be considered when creating new buildings lots:

- a) new lots that represent minor infilling;
- b) the mortgaging of land beyond 21 years;
- c) lot boundary adjustments;**
- d) facilitating the disposal of a second legal dwelling;
- e) separating existing legal uses;
- f) providing a retirement residence on non-prime agricultural lands for a farmer who worked on the subject farm much of their adult life;
- g) separating lots that have merged on title; and,
- h) easements or right-of-way.

With respect to zoning;

The minimum lot area requirement is 5.0 hectares, and the minimum lot frontage requirement is 100.0 metres for the Rural (RU) Zone. After the lot addition, the proposed lot to be enlarged will have an area of approximately 26.2 hectares and a lot frontage of 204.0 metres which meet the

minimum requirements of the RU Zone. The enlarged lot contains a single-family home.

The proposed lot to be retained has an approximate lot area of 20.00 hectares and an approximate lot frontage of 375.0 metres which meet the minimum requirements of the RU Zone. The retained lot contains a single-family home.

With Respect to agency comments:

Union Gas: no concerns.

Bell Canada: no comments or concerns at this time.

Municipality of St. Charles: property is subject to multiple drains and may require reapportionment.

No other comments were received as of the date this report was written.

The applications for consent is consistent with the 2020 Provincial Policy Statement, complies with the Official Plan for the Sudbury East Planning Area, therefore can be supported from planning perspective.

**Resolution: 21-006**

**BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Consent Application B/08/21/SC submitted by Paul and Lise Branconnier be recommended for approval as per the report prepared by the Director of Planning.

**MOVED BY: Rob Campbell**

**SECONDED BY: New Whynott**

**Carried**

The Chair advised that there is a 20 day appeal period during which time any person or public body may appeal the decision or any condition imposed by the Planning Board by filing an appeal with the Secretary-Treasurer as prescribed by the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal Act.

The applicants have one year to fulfill the conditions of this provisional consent or the approval will lapse.

The Director of Planning Advised that Notice of the Public Hearing was posted in the Planning Board office and sent by First Class Mail to assessed owners within 60.0 metres of the property subject to the Consent Applications and to those persons and agencies likely to have an interest in the applications. The Notice was sent on January 26, 2021, being over fourteen (14) days prior to this evenings meeting (B/09/21/SC – Paul and Lise Branconnier). Included with the Notice was an explanation of the purpose and effect of the proposed Consent and a key map showing the location of the property. The circulation was provided in accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act, R.S.O., Chapter P.13.

e) B/09/21/SC - Paul and Lise Branconnier

The Director of Planning summarized the application

The Sudbury East Planning Board has received an application to create one (1) rural lot which is approximately 8.0 hectares in area and has 100.0 metres of road frontage on Casimir Road in St. Charles. The proposed severed lot is presently vacant. The proposed retained lot is to be approximately 12.4 hectares in area with a road frontage of 275.6 metres on Casimir Road and presently contains a single-family home.

With respect to the PPS 2020;

- 1.1.3.2 Land use patterns within settlement areas shall be based on densities and a mix of land uses which: a) **efficiently use land** and resources.

With respect to the OP,

Creation of rural residential lots will be evaluated using a number of criteria including:

- The size and dimensions of the severed and retained parcels are adequate to accommodate the proposed use;
- **Adequate access** can be provided from a year-round publicly maintained road;
- Access will not create a traffic hazard;
- **The severed and retained parcels comply with MDS;**
- **Adequate sewage and water servicing** can or will be provided;
- It is feasible with regard to the other provisions of the Plan, provincial legislation, policies and appropriate
- guidelines and support studies for uses within or adjacent to any development constraint; and
- The request, if granted, would not pose an undue financial burden on the applicable municipality.

In this case, proposed severed Lot 1 and retained parcel meet the requirements of the 'Rural (RU)' Zoning. Adequate access for the proposed lots to be severed and retained parcel of land are to be accessed from Casimir Road which the Municipality of St. Charles and had **no objections** to the severance. Minimum Distance Separation (MDS) is not applicable in this instance, as there is no farming related activities in the area and the fact that John O'Neil from OMAFRA stated the lands are not prime agriculture lands. **With respect to servicing, the agent has not provided comments from the Sudbury and District Health Unit, therefore as a condition of approval for the provisional consent is to be attached and supportability is required from the Health Unit to grant final consent.** Appropriate documentation with respect to availability of sewage hauling services and probability of potable water have been provided.

With respect to zoning,

The 'Rural (RU)' Zone requires a minimum lot area of 5.0 hectares and a minimum lot frontage of 100.0 metres. The lot to be retained and severed will continue to meet those requirements.

With Respect to agency comments:

Union Gas: no concerns.

Bell Canada: no comments or concerns at this time.

Municipality of St. Charles: property is subject to multiple drains and may require reapportionment.

OMAFRA: no concerns or objections with respect to the soil classification and the lands are not deemed prime agricultural area.

Hydro One: have no comments or concern at this time.

No other comments were received as of the date this report was written.

The applications for consent is consistent with the 2020 Provincial Policy Statement, complies with the Official Plan for the Sudbury East Planning Area, therefore can be supported from planning perspective.

**Resolution: 21-007**

**BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Consent Application B/09/21/SC submitted by Paul and Lise Branconnier be recommended for approval as per the report prepared by the Director of Planning.

**MOVED BY: John Dimitrijevic**

**SECONDED BY: David Viau**

**Carried**

The Chair advised that there is a 20 day appeal period during which time any person or public body may appeal the decision or any condition imposed by the Planning Board by filing an appeal with the Secretary-Treasurer as prescribed by the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal Act.

The applicants have one year to fulfill the conditions of this provisional consent or the approval will lapse.

**6. BUSINESS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MINUTES**

**7. NEW BUSINESS**

a) Review of the Planning Board Operations

The Sudbury East Planning Board Chairperson explained that a letter was received on January 14, 2021 from the CAO of the municipality of Markstay-Warren expressing concerns of the budget and recommends a freeze in municipal increases until such time that review of the Planning Board

operations takes place. The Chairperson also reviewed Resolution 2021-35 from the municipality of French River, Resolution 21-043 from the municipality of Killarney and a letter provided from the municipality of St. Charles signed by the mayor which all stated that their council members disagreed with the proposed recommendations from the municipality of Markstay-Warren and that the municipalities who endorse an operational review of the Sudbury East Planning Board be borne with its associated costs.

**Resolution: 21-008**

**WHEREAS** the Sudbury East Planning Board acknowledges receipt of the letter dated January 14, 2021 addressed to the Chair of the Board from the Municipality of Markstay-Warren recommending a freeze in municipal apportionment increases until a review of the Planning Board operations takes place.

**BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Sudbury East Planning Board disagrees with the proposed recommendations as the Board has no concerns with the Sudbury East Planning Boards current operations;

**AND THAT** the Board further agrees that the Municipalities who endorse an operational review of the Board be borne with its associated costs.

**MOVED BY: Paul Branconnier**

**SECONDED BY: David Viau**

**Carried**

b) Special Business Case Funding

**Resolution: 21-009**

**BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Sudbury East Planning Board hereby approves the allocation of the funding received by the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing for the New Official Plan Project as it pertains to the Unincorporated Townships of the Sudbury East Planning Area in the amount of \$4,908.00 as per the report prepared by the Director of Planning.

**MOVED BY: New Whynott**

**SECONDED BY: John Dimitrijevic**

**Carried**

8. **NOTICES OF MOTION RECEIVED BY THE SECRETARY-TREASURER PRIOR TO THE CLOSING OF THE MEETING**
9. **PAYMENT OF VOUCHERS**

a) **December 2020, January 2021 And February 2021**

**Resolution 21-010**

**BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the statement of disbursements for the month of December 2020 in the amount of \$ 29,474.78 and for January 2021 in the amount of \$36,080.65 and February 2021 in the amount of \$ 16,412.32 be distributed and is hereby approved for payment.

**MOVED BY: Renee Carrier**

**SECONDED BY: Paul Branconnier**

**Carried**

**13. ADJOURNMENT**

**Resolution: 21-011**

**BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Meeting be adjourned at 6:35 P.M.

**AND THAT** the next regular meeting be held on April 08<sup>th</sup>, 2020 at 5:30 P.M. at the Municipality office in French River, Virtually.

**MOVED BY: Rob Campbell**

**SECONDED BY: Renee Carrier**

**Carried.**

  
CHAIR

  
SECRETARY-TREASURER